

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/695,818 | | 10/30/2003 | King Biu Mak | 016660-182 | 7175 |
| 21839 | 7590 | 06/07/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| | | WECKER & MAT | GALL, LLOYD A | | |
| POST OFFI ALEXAND | | 1404 22313-1404 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | , | | | 3676 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 06/07/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | BN | |
|--|--|---|
| ò | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/695,818 | MAK ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| · | Lloyd A. Gall | 3676 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of tood will apply and will expire SIX (6) M tute, cause the application to become | a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | |
| - | his action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | wance except for formal ma | atters, prosecution as to the merits is |
| closed in accordance with the practice unde | er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C | .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| . 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the applicati | on. | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd | rawn from consideration. | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction and/ | or election requirement. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam | iner. | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a | ccepted or b) objected t | o by the Examiner. |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to t | he drawing(s) be held in abey | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr | ection is required if the drawir | ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. Note the attach | ed Office Action or form PTO-152. |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docume | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the participation from the International Bure | | n received in this National Stage |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a I | ist of the certified copies no | ot received. |
| | | • |
| Attachment/s) | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview | Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper N | o(s)/Mail Date |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 | | f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) | · |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/695,818

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: 1.) The species of figs. 6, 7A and 7B; 2.) The species of figs. 8A, 8B, 9A and 9B; 3.) The species of figs. 10, 11A and 11B; 4.) The species of figs. 12A-12D; 5.) The species of figs. 13A-13D; and 6.) The species of figs. 14A-14C.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, it is not clear if any claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/695,818

Art Unit: 3676

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Mr. LaBarre on June 3, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lloyd A. Gall whose telephone number is 571-272-7056. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,818

Art Unit: 3676

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LG LG June 03, 2005

Lloyd A. Gall Primary Examiner

Page 4